In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 17-1488V
Filed: March 19, 2019
UNPUBLISHED

HECTOR BAEZ,

Petitioner,

٧.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Special Processing Unit (SPU); Attorneys' Fees and Costs

Jessica Anne Olins, Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, Washington, DC, for petitioner. Julia Marter Collison, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

Dorsey, Chief Special Master:

On October 10, 2017, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*,² (the "Vaccine Act"). Petitioner alleges that he suffered a left shoulder injury causally related to an adverse reaction to the influenza vaccination he received on October 10, 2016. Petition at ¶¶ 1, 10. On January 28, 2019, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on the parties' stipulation. ECF No. 36.

On February 6, 2019, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys' fees and costs. ECF No. 40. Petitioner requests attorneys' fees in the amount of \$18,134.70 and attorneys'

¹ The undersigned intends to post this decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website. This means the decision will be available to anyone with access to the Internet. In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, the undersigned agrees that the identified material fits within this definition, the undersigned will redact such material from public access. Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action in this case, the undersigned is required to post it on the United States Court of Federal Claims' website in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services).

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all "§" references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2012).

costs in the amount of \$623.33. *Id.* at 1-2. In compliance with General Order #9, petitioner filed a signed statement indicating that petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. *Id.* at 2. Thus, the total amount requested is \$18,758.03.

On February 6, 2019, respondent filed a response to petitioner's motion. ECF No. 41. Respondent argues that "[n]either the Vaccine Act nor Vaccine Rule 13 contemplates any role for respondent in the resolution of a request by a petitioner for an award of attorneys' fees and costs." *Id.* at 1. Respondent adds, however, that he "is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case." *Id.* at 2. Respondent "respectfully recommends that the Chief Special Master exercise her discretion and determine a reasonable award for attorneys' fees and costs." *Id.* at 3.

On February 6, 2019, petitioner filed a reply. ECF No. 42. Petitioner disputes respondent's position that he has no role in resolving attorneys' fees and costs and further reiterates his view that his attorneys' fees and costs in this case are reasonable.

The undersigned has reviewed the billing records submitted with petitioner's request and finds a reduction in the amount of fees to be awarded appropriate for the reasons listed below.

I. Legal Standard

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorneys' fees and costs. § 15(e). Counsel must submit fee requests that include contemporaneous and specific billing records indicating the service performed, the number of hours expended on the service, and the name of the person performing the service. See Savin v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 85 Fed. Cl. 313, 316-18 (2008). Counsel should not include in their fee requests hours that are "excessive, redundant, or otherwise unnecessary." Saxton v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 3 F.3d 1517, 1521 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (quoting Hensley v. Eckerhart, 461 U.S. 424, 434 (1983)). It is "well within the special master's discretion to reduce the hours to a number that, in [her] experience and judgment, [is] reasonable for the work done." Id. at 1522. Furthermore, the special master may reduce a fee request sua sponte, apart from objections raised by respondent and without providing a petitioner notice and opportunity to respond. See Sabella v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 86 Fed. Cl. 201, 209 (2009). A special master need not engage in a line-by-line analysis of petitioner's fee application when reducing fees. Broekelschen v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 102 Fed. Cl. 719, 729 (2011).

The petitioner "bears the burden of establishing the hours expended, the rates charged, and the expenses incurred." *Wasson v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, 24 Cl. Ct. at 482, 484 (1991). She "should present adequate proof [of the attorneys' fees and costs sought] at the time of the submission." *Id.* at 484 n.1. Petitioner's counsel "should make a good faith effort to exclude from a fee request hours that are excessive,

redundant, or otherwise unnecessary, just as a lawyer in private practice ethically is obligated to exclude such hours from his fee submission." *Hensley*, 461 U.S., at 434.

II. Attorney Fees

- A. Hourly Rates
 - i. Jessica A. Olins, Esq.

Petitioner requests compensation for attorney Jessica A. Olins at the rate of \$184 per hour for work performed in 2018 and \$205 per hour for work performed in 2019. ECF No. 40-1 at 19. The rate of \$184 for 2018 has been previously awarded and is awarded herein. For 2019, the undersigned finds the requested rate of \$205 excessive based on her overall legal experience and her lack of experience in the Vaccine Program. See McCulloch v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., No. 09–293V, 2015 WL 5634323, at *17 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Sept. 1, 2015) (stating the following factors are paramount in deciding a reasonable forum hourly rate: experience in the Vaccine Program, overall legal experience, the quality of work performed, and the reputation in the legal community and community at large). Attorney hourly rates are set forth in the OSM Attorneys' Forum Hourly Rate Schedules for years 2015 - 2018 available on the U.S. Court of Federal Claims website at www.cofc.uscourts.gov/node/2914. The undersigned incorporates by reference all of the explanatory notes contained in these rate schedules. See also McCulloch, 2015 WL 5634323, at *19.

Ms. Olins was barred in Washington, DC in 2018, placing her in the range of attorneys with less than four years' experience in 2019. The OSM Attorneys' Forum Hourly Rate Schedules for 2019 for attorneys in this range is \$162 - \$243. Due to Ms. Olins' limited legal experience and inexperience in the Vaccine Program, the undersigned finds cause to reduce her requested hourly rate to \$199 per hour³ for work performed in 2019.

This results in a reduction of attorneys' fees requested in the amount of \$21.60⁴.

³ Ms. Olins was previously awarded the rate of \$199 per hour for 2019 in *John et. al. v Sec'y of Health & Human Servs'*, No. 16-0574, (This decision will be posted on the Courts website within 30 days from the date when filed). As Ms. Olins was not the attorney of record in these cases an in-depth analysis of her rate was not referenced.

⁴ This amount consists of \$205 - \$199 =\$6 x 3.6 = \$21.60.

ii. Danielle A. Strait, Esq.

Petitioner is requesting the rate of \$320 for Ms. Strait for time worked in 2017. Ms. Strait has previously been awarded the rate of \$307 for work performed in 2017. The undersigned reduces the requested rate to the previously awarded rate of \$307, reducing the request for attorney's fees by **\$10.40**.⁵

B. Excessive and Duplicative Billing

The undersigned has previously reduced the fees paid to petitioners due to excessive and duplicative billing. See Ericzon v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., No. 10-103V, 2016 WL 447770 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Jan. 15, 2016) (reduced overall fee award by 10 percent due to excessive and duplicative billing); Raymo v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., No. 11-654V, 2016 WL 7212323 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Nov. 2, 2016) (reduced overall fee award by 20 percent), mot. for rev. denied, 129 Fed. Cl. 691 (2016). The undersigned and other special masters have previously noted the inefficiency that results when cases are staffed by multiple individuals and have reduced fees accordingly. See Sabella, 86 Fed. Cl. at 209.

Billing records show that two attorneys and seven paralegals billed time in this matter, with some billing less than one hour. This resulted in multiple reviews of the same records, orders and updating the same entries on files. For example, the attorney's and the paralegals list 47 separate entries as reviewing court notifications of filings and updating file entries and deadlines, for a total of 4.7 hours of time. The undersigned reduces the request for attorney's fees by \$443.70⁶, the total of the duplicated entries at the paralegal rates.

III. Attorney Costs

Petitioner requests reimbursement for attorney costs in the amount of \$623.33. After reviewing petitioner's invoices, the undersigned finds no cause to reduce petitioner's' request and awards the full amount of attorney costs sought.

IV. Conclusion

Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request, the undersigned **GRANTS IN PART** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs.

⁵ This amount consists of $$320 - $307 = $13 \times 0.80 = 10.40 .

⁶ This amount consists of (0.3 hrs x \$145 = \$43.50) + (2.6 hrs x \$148 = \$384.80) + (.1 hrs x \$154 = \$15.40) = \$443.70.

Accordingly, the undersigned awards the total of \$18,282.33⁷ as a lump sum in the form of a check jointly payable to petitioner and petitioner's counsel Jessica A. Olins. Petitioner requests check be forwarded to Maglio Christopher & Toale, PA, 1605 Main Street, Suite 710, Sarasota Florida 34236.

The clerk of the court shall enter judgment in accordance herewith.8

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Nora Beth Dorsey
Nora Beth Dorsey
Chief Special Master

⁷ This amount is intended to cover all legal expenses incurred in this matter. This award encompasses all charges by the attorney against a client, "advanced costs" as well as fees for legal services rendered. Furthermore, § 15(e)(3) prevents an attorney from charging or collecting fees (including costs) that would be in addition to the amount awarded herein. See generally Beck v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs., 924 F.2d 1029 (Fed. Cir.1991).

⁸ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by the parties' joint filing of notice renouncing the right to seek review.